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#### Abstract

In the present paper, by making use of Lucas-Balancing polynomials two new subclasses of regular and bi-univalent functions are introduced. Then, some upper bounds are determined for the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients. In addition, the Fekete-Szegö problem is handled for the functions belonging to these new subclasses. Morever, a few corollaries of the results are indicated for certain values of the parameters.


## 1. Introduction

One of the most attractive sub branch of the complex analysis in mathematics is univalent function theory. Determining geometric properties of complex valued functions is scope of this theory. Also, this field interest in finding some bounds for the coefficients of functions belonging to some subclasses of regular and one-to-one functions. If a complex valued function $f: D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ does not take the same value twice, then this function is called univalent or schlicht on $D$. After understanding the importance of Riemann mapping theorem [11], researches on the univalent functions has become very attractive. One of the most important problem of the $20^{t h}$ century is known as Bieberbach conjecture. This conjecture estimates an upper bound for the $n$-th coefficient of analytic and univalent function. Bieberbach conjecture attracted attention of numerious mathematicians in the mentioned century. During this period, a number of papers were published on the solution of the mentioned problem for a number of subclasses of analytic and univalent functions. Since then, lots of subclasses of regular and univalent functions were introduced and certain properties of these function classes were investigated. Also, it is worthy to mention here that these function subclasses were defined by using some special polynomial due to their coefficient properties of generating functions. In general, upper bounds for the coefficients

[^0]$\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$, Fekete-Szegö and Hankel determinant problems for the mentioned subclasses were handled in the recent papers. Recently, De Branges proved Bieberbach's conjecture for the class of analytic and univalent function on the unit disk $\mathbb{E}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ normalized by the conditions $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. An important subclass of analytic and univalent function class on the unit disk $\mathbb{E}$ is the bi-univalent function class and is denoted by $\Sigma$. In the literature, an analytic and univalent function $f$ is called bi-univalent function in $\mathbb{E}$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are univalent in $\mathbb{E}$. We would like to emphasize here that the problem finding an upper bound for the coefficient $\left|a_{n}\right|$ of the functions belonging to class $\Sigma$ is still an open problem. A wide range of coefficient estimates for the functions in the class $\Sigma$ can be found in the literature. For instance, Brannan and Clunie, and Lewin presented very interesting upper bounds on $\left|a_{2}\right|$ in [5] and [18], respectively. Also, in [6], Brannan and Taha studied on some subclasses of bi-univalent functions and proved certain coefficient estimates. As mentioned above, one of the most attractive open problems in univalent function theory is to find an upper bound on $\left|a_{n}\right|(n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 3)$ for the functions in the class $\Sigma$. Since this attraction, motivated by the works [5,6,18] and [25], in [1-3,7-9, 12, 20, 26-29] and references therein, the authors introduced numerous subclasses of bi-univalent functions and obtained non-sharp estimates on the initial coefficients of functions in these subclasses.

As a result of the literature review we did not find any papers dealing with the coefficient estimations for the subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent function class $\Sigma$ defined by LucasBalancing polynomials. In this paper, the main objective is to obtain some upper bounds for the second and third coefficients, and Fekete-Szegö functional of the functions in the subclasses defined. A rich history for the class $\Sigma$ can be found in the pionnering work [25] published by Srivastava et al.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 1 is divided into three subsections. Certain basic concepts of univalent function theory are presented in the first subsection. In the second subsection some information about the Lucas-Balancing polynomials is given. Two new function subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions are introduced by making use of Lucas-Balancing polynomials in the last subsection. Section 2 is devoted the determination of the upper bounds for initial Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients of the defined subclasses. In Section 3 we discuss the Fekete-Szegö problem for these new subclasses. In addition, a few corollaries for the Fekete-Szegö inequalities are presented in Section 3.
1.1. Some basic concepts in Geometric Function Theory. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of all analytic functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the open unit disk $\mathbb{E}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. It is clear that the functions in $\mathcal{A}$ satisfy the conditions $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$, known as normalization conditions. We show by $\mathcal{S}$ the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of functions univalent in $\mathcal{A}$. The Koebe one quarter theorem (see [11]) guarantees that if $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then there exists the inverse function $f^{-1}$ satisfying

$$
f^{-1}(f(z))=z,(z \in \mathbb{E}) \text { and } f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w,\left(|w|<r_{0}(f), r_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(w)=f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the univalent function theory, one of the most important notions is subordination principle. Let the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $F \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, $f$ is called to be subordinate to $F$ if there exists a Schwarz function $w$ such that

$$
w(0)=0,|w(z)|<1 \text { and } f(z)=F(w(z)) \quad(z \in \mathbb{E})
$$

This subordination is shown by

$$
f \prec F \quad \text { or } \quad f(z) \prec F(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{E}) .
$$

Especially, if the function $F$ is univalent in $\mathbb{E}$, then this subordination is equivalent to

$$
f(0)=F(0), \quad f(\mathbb{E}) \subset F(\mathbb{E})
$$

A comprehensive information about the subordination concept can be found in [19].
1.2. Lucas-Balancing polynomial and its some properties. The notion of Balancing number was defined by Behera and Panda in [4]. Actually, balancing number $n$ and its balancer $r$ are solutions of the Diophantine equation

$$
1+2+\cdots+(n-1)=(n+1)+(n+2)+\cdots+(n+r)
$$

It is known that if $n$ is a balancing number, then $8 n^{2}+1$ is a perfect square and its positive square root is called a Lucas-Balancing number [22]. Recently, some properties of these new number sequences have been intensively studied and its some generalizations were defined. Interested readers can find comprehensive information regarding Lucas-Balancing numbers in $[10,14-17,21-24]$ and references therein. Natural extensions of the Lucas-Balancing numbers is Lucas-Balancing polynomial and it is defined by:

Definition 1.1. [13] Let $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \geq 2$. Then, Lucas-Balancing polynomials are defined the following recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}(x)=6 x C_{n-1}(x)-C_{n-2}(x) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{0}(x)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(x)=3 x \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using recurrence relation given by (1.3) we easily obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{2}(x)=18 x^{2}-1  \tag{1.5}\\
& C_{3}(x)=108 x^{3}-9 x \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1.1. [13] The ordinary generating function of the Lucas-Balancing polynomials is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x, z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n}(x) z^{n}=\frac{1-3 x z}{1-6 x z+z^{2}} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.3. New subclasses of bi-univalent functions. In this subsection, we introduce some new function subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent function class $\Sigma$ which is subordinate to Lucas-Balancing polynomials.

Definition 1.2. A function $f(z) \in \Sigma$ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{L B}^{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{R}(x, z))$ if the following conditions hold true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec \frac{1-3 x z}{1-6 x z+z^{2}}=\mathcal{R}(x, z) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)} \prec \frac{1-3 x w}{1-6 x w+w^{2}}=\mathcal{R}(x, w), \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z, w \in \mathbb{E}, g$ is inverse of $f$ and it is of the form (1.2).
Definition 1.3. A function $f(z) \in \Sigma$ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{L B}^{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{\star}(\mathcal{R}(x, z))$ if the following conditions hold true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1-3 x z}{1-6 x z+z^{2}}=\mathcal{R}(x, z) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)} \prec \frac{1-3 x w}{1-6 x w+w^{2}}=\mathcal{R}(x, w) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z, w \in \mathbb{E}, g$ is inverse of $f$ and it is of the form (1.2).

## 2. Coefficient Estimates for the Classes $\mathcal{L B}^{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{\star}(\mathcal{R}(x, z))$ and ${ }_{\mathcal{L} \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{R}(x, z))$

In this section, we present initial coefficients estimates for the functions belonging to the subclasses $\mathcal{L B} \mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{R}(x, z))$ and $\mathcal{L B} \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{\star}(\mathcal{R}(x, z))$, respectively.

Theorem 2.1. If the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{L B} \mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{R}(x, z))$ and $x \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{\mp \frac{\sqrt{6}}{9}\right\}$, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{3 \sqrt{3}|x| \sqrt{|x|}}{\sqrt{2\left|2-27 x^{2}\right|}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}\left(\frac{9}{2}|x|+1\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume that $f(z) \in \mathcal{L B} \mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{R}(x, z))$ and $g=f^{-1}$ given by (1.2). By virtue of Definition 1.2, from the relations (1.8) and (1.9) we can write that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\mathcal{R}(x, \rho(z)) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}=\mathcal{R}(x, \xi(w)) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho, \xi: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}, \rho(z)=\rho_{1} z+\rho_{2} z^{2}+\rho_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$ and $\xi(w)=\xi_{1} w+\xi_{2} w^{2}+\xi_{3} w^{3}+\cdots$ are Schwarz functions such that $\rho(0)=\xi(0)=0$ and $|\rho(z)|<1,|\xi(w)|<1$ for all $z, w \in \mathbb{E}$. On the other hand, it is known that the conditions $|\rho(z)|<1$ and $|\xi(w)|<1$ imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\rho_{j}\right|<1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi_{j}\right|<1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Some basic calculations yield that

$$
\begin{gather*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=1+2 a_{2} z+\left(6 a_{3}-4 a_{2}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(12 a_{4}-18 a_{2} a_{3}+8 a_{2}^{3}\right) z^{3}+\cdots  \tag{2.7}\\
1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}=1-2 a_{2} w+\left(8 a_{2}^{2}-6 a_{3}\right) w^{2}+\left(42 a_{2} a_{3}-32 a_{2}^{3}-12 a_{4}\right) w^{3}+\cdots  \tag{2.8}\\
\mathcal{R}(x, \rho(z))=C_{0}(x)+\left[C_{1}(x) \rho_{1}\right] z+\left[C_{1}(x) \rho_{2}+C_{2}(x) \rho_{1}^{2}\right] z^{2}  \tag{2.9}\\
+\left[C_{1}(x) \rho_{3}+2 C_{2}(x) \rho_{1} \rho_{2}+C_{3}(x) \rho_{1}^{3}\right] z^{3}+\cdots
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{R}(x, \xi(w)) & =C_{0}(x)+\left[C_{1}(x) \xi_{1}\right] w+\left[C_{1}(x) \xi_{2}+C_{2}(x) \xi_{1}^{2}\right] w^{2}  \tag{2.10}\\
& +\left[C_{1}(x) \xi_{3}+2 C_{2}(x) \xi_{1} \xi_{2}+C_{3}(x) \xi_{1}^{3}\right] w^{3}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

Now, using equation (2.3) and comparing the coefficients of (2.7) and (2.9), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
2 a_{2} & =C_{1}(x) \rho_{1}  \tag{2.11}\\
6 a_{3}-4 a_{2}^{2} & =C_{1}(x) \rho_{2}+C_{2}(x) \rho_{1}^{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, using equation (2.4) and comparing the coefficients of (2.8) and (2.10), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
-2 a_{2} & =C_{1}(x) \xi_{1}  \tag{2.13}\\
8 a_{2}^{2}-6 a_{3} & =C_{1}(x) \xi_{2}+C_{2}(x) \xi_{1}^{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, from equations (2.11) and (2.13) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{1} & =-\xi_{1}  \tag{2.15}\\
\frac{8 a_{2}^{2}}{\left(C_{1}(x)\right)^{2}} & =\rho_{1}^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2} \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, summing of the equations (2.12) and (2.14), we easily obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 a_{2}^{2}=C_{1}(x)\left(\rho_{2}+\xi_{2}\right)+C_{2}(x)\left(\rho_{1}^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (2.16) in equation (2.17) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{\left(C_{1}(x)\right)^{3}\left(\rho_{2}+\xi_{2}\right)}{4\left(C_{1}(x)\right)^{2}-8 C_{2}(x)} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (1.4) and (1.5) in (2.18) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{27 x^{3}\left(\rho_{2}+\xi_{2}\right)}{8-108 x^{2}} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using the well-known triangular inequality with the inequalities (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{27|x|^{3}}{\left|4-54 x^{2}\right|} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking square root both sides of the inequality (2.20), we deduce

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{3 \sqrt{3}|x| \sqrt{|x|}}{\sqrt{2\left|2-27 x^{2}\right|}}
$$

On the other hand, if we subtract the equation (2.12) from the equation (2.14) and consider equation (2.15), then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{C_{1}(x)\left(\rho_{2}-\xi_{2}\right)}{12}+a_{2}^{2} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering the equation (2.19) in (2.21) and a straightforward calculation yield that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{C_{1}(x)\left(\rho_{2}-\xi_{2}\right)}{12}+\frac{\left(C_{1}(x)\right)^{2}\left(\rho_{1}^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)}{8} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By making use of the equation (1.4), and triangle inequality with the inequalities (2.5) and (2.6) we can write that

$$
\left|a_{3}\right|=\left|\frac{3 x\left(\rho_{2}-\xi_{2}\right)}{12}+\frac{9 x^{2}\left(\rho_{1}^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)}{8}\right| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}\left(\frac{9}{2}|x|+1\right)
$$

which is desired.
Theorem 2.2. If the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{L B} \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{\star}(\mathcal{R}(x, z))$ and $x \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{\mp \frac{1}{3}\right\}$, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{3 \sqrt{3}|x| \sqrt{|x|}}{\sqrt{\left|1-9 x^{2}\right|}} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq 3|x|\left(3|x|+\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{L B} \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{\star}(\mathcal{R}(x, z))$ and $g=f^{-1}$ given by (1.2). In view of Definition 1.3, from the relations (1.10) and (1.11) we can write that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\mathcal{R}(x, \eta(z)) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}=\mathcal{R}(x, \mu(w)) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta, \mu: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}, \eta(z)=\eta_{1} z+\eta_{2} z^{2}+\eta_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$ and $\mu(w)=\mu_{1} w+\mu_{2} w^{2}+\mu_{3} w^{3}+\cdots$ are Schwarz functions such that $\eta(0)=\mu(0)=0$ and $|\eta(z)|<1,|\mu(w)|<1$ for all $z, w \in \mathbb{E}$. On the other hand, it is known that the conditions $|\eta(z)|<1$ and $|\mu(w)|<1$ imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\eta_{j}\right|<1 \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mu_{j}\right|<1 \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Some basic calculations yield that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=1+a_{2} z+\left(2 a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(3 a_{4}-3 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{2}^{3}\right) z^{3}+\cdots \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}=1-a_{2} w & +\left(3 a_{2}^{2}-2 a_{3}\right) w^{2}+\left(12 a_{2} a_{3}-10 a_{2}^{3}-3 a_{4}\right) w^{3}+\cdots,  \tag{2.30}\\
\mathcal{R}(x, \eta(z)) & =C_{0}(x)+\left[C_{1}(x) \eta_{1}\right] z+\left[C_{1}(x) \eta_{2}+C_{2}(x) \eta_{1}^{2}\right] z^{2}  \tag{2.31}\\
& +\left[C_{1}(x) \eta_{3}+2 C_{2}(x) \eta_{1} \eta_{2}+C_{3}(x) \eta_{1}^{3}\right] z^{3}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{R}(x, \mu(w)) & =C_{0}(x)+\left[C_{1}(x) \mu_{1}\right] w+\left[C_{1}(x) \mu_{2}+C_{2}(x) \mu_{1}^{2}\right] w^{2}  \tag{2.32}\\
& +\left[C_{1}(x) \mu_{3}+2 C_{2}(x) \mu_{1} \mu_{2}+C_{3}(x) \mu_{1}^{3}\right] w^{3}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

Now, using equation (2.25) and comparing the coefficients of (2.29) and (2.31), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{2} & =C_{1}(x) \eta_{1}  \tag{2.33}\\
2 a_{3}-a_{2}^{2} & =C_{1}(x) \eta_{2}+C_{2}(x) \eta_{1}^{2} \tag{2.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, using equation (2.26) and comparing the coefficients of (2.30) and (2.32), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
-a_{2} & =C_{1}(x) \mu_{1}  \tag{2.35}\\
3 a_{2}^{2}-2 a_{3} & =C_{1}(x) \mu_{2}+C_{2}(x) \mu_{1}^{2} \tag{2.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, from equations (2.33) and (2.35) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{1} & =-\mu_{1}  \tag{2.37}\\
\frac{2 a_{2}^{2}}{\left(C_{1}(x)\right)^{2}} & =\eta_{1}^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2} \tag{2.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, summing of the equations (2.34) and (2.36), we easily obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a_{2}^{2}=C_{1}(x)\left(\eta_{2}+\mu_{2}\right)+C_{2}(x)\left(\eta_{1}^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (2.38) in equation (2.39) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{\left(C_{1}(x)\right)^{3}\left(\eta_{2}+\mu_{2}\right)}{2\left(C_{1}(x)\right)^{2}-2 C_{2}(x)} \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (1.4) and (1.5) in (2.40) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{27 x^{3}\left(\eta_{2}+\mu_{2}\right)}{2-18 x^{2}} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using the well-known triangular inequality with the inequalities (2.27) and (2.28), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{27|x|^{3}}{\left|1-9 x^{2}\right|} \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking square root both sides of the inequality (2.42), we deduce

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{3 \sqrt{3}|x| \sqrt{|x|}}{\sqrt{\left|1-9 x^{2}\right|}}
$$

Also, if we subtract the equation (2.36) from the equation (2.34) and consider equation (2.37), then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{C_{1}(x)\left(\eta_{2}-\mu_{2}\right)}{4}+a_{2}^{2} \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering the equation (2.41) in (2.43) and a straightforward calculation yield that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{C_{1}(x)\left(\eta_{2}-\mu_{2}\right)}{4}+\frac{\left(C_{1}(x)\right)^{2}\left(\eta_{1}^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)}{2} . \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

By making use of the equation (1.4), and triangle inequality with the inequalities (2.27) and (2.28) we can write that

$$
\left|a_{3}\right|=\left|\frac{3 x\left(\eta_{2}-\mu_{2}\right)}{4}+\frac{9 x^{2}\left(\eta_{1}^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)}{2}\right| \leq 3|x|\left(3|x|+\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

which is desired.
3. FEKEte-SzEGÖ INEQUALITIES FOR THE CLASSES $\mathcal{L B} \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{\star}(\mathcal{R}(x, z))$ AND $\mathcal{L B}^{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{R}(x, z))$

Theorem 3.1. Let the function $f(z) \in{ }_{\mathcal{L B}} \mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{R}(x, z)), \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{0, \mp \frac{\sqrt{6}}{9}\right\}$. Then,

$$
\left|a_{3}-\delta a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{|x|}{2}, & |1-\delta| \leq \frac{\left|2-27 x^{2}\right|}{27 x^{2}}  \tag{3.1}\\ \frac{27|x|^{3}|1-\delta|}{\left|4-54 x^{2}\right|}, & |1-\delta| \geq \frac{\left|2-27 x^{2}\right|}{27 x^{2}}\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{L B}^{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{R}(x, z))$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, from the equations (2.18) and (2.21), we can write that

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{3}-\delta a_{2}^{2} & =a_{2}^{2}+\frac{C_{1}(x)\left(\rho_{2}-\xi_{2}\right)}{12}-\delta a_{2}^{2} \\
& =(1-\delta) a_{2}^{2}+\frac{C_{1}(x)\left(\rho_{2}-\xi_{2}\right)}{12} \\
& =(1-\delta) \frac{\left(C_{1}(x)\right)^{3}\left(\rho_{2}+\xi_{2}\right)}{4\left(C_{1}(x)\right)^{2}-8 C_{2}(x)}+\frac{C_{1}(x)\left(\rho_{2}-\xi_{2}\right)}{12} \\
& =C_{1}(x)\left\{\left(h_{1}(\delta)+\frac{1}{12}\right) \rho_{2}+\left(h_{1}(\delta)-\frac{1}{12}\right) \xi_{2}\right\}, \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{1}(\delta)=\frac{(1-\delta)\left(C_{1}(x)\right)^{2}}{4\left(C_{1}(x)\right)^{2}-8 C_{2}(x)}$. Now, taking modulus and using triangle inequality with the (2.5), (2.6), (1.4) and (1.5) in (3.2), we complete the proof.

Taking $\delta=1$ in Theorem 3.1 we get:
Corollary 3.1. If the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{L B}^{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{R}(x, z))$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{|x|}{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $f(z) \in \mathcal{L B}^{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{\star}(\mathcal{R}(x, z)), \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{0, \mp \frac{1}{3}\right\}$. Then,

$$
\left|a_{3}-\delta a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{3}{2}|x|, & |1-\delta| \leq \frac{\left|1-9 x^{2}\right|}{18 x^{2}}  \tag{3.4}\\ \frac{27|x|^{3}|1-\delta|}{\left|1-9 x^{2}\right|}, & |1-\delta| \geq \frac{\left|1-9 x^{2}\right|}{18 x^{2}}\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{L B}^{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{\star}(\mathcal{R}(x, z))$. Then, it follows from the equations (2.40) and (2.43) that

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{3}-\delta a_{2}^{2} & =a_{2}^{2}+\frac{C_{1}(x)\left(\delta_{2}-\mu_{2}\right)}{4}-\delta a_{2}^{2} \\
& =(1-\delta) a_{2}^{2}+\frac{C_{1}(x)\left(\delta_{2}-\mu_{2}\right)}{4} \\
& =(1-\delta) \frac{\left(C_{1}(x)\right)^{3}\left(\delta_{2}+\mu_{2}\right)}{2\left(C_{1}(x)\right)^{2}-2 C_{2}(x)}+\frac{C_{1}(x)\left(\delta_{2}-\mu_{2}\right)}{4} \\
& =C_{1}(x)\left\{\left(h_{2}(\delta)+\frac{1}{4}\right) p_{2}+\left(h_{2}(\delta)-\frac{1}{4}\right) d_{2}\right\} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{2}(\delta)=\frac{(1-\delta)\left(C_{1}(x)\right)^{2}}{2\left(C_{1}(x)\right)^{2}-2 C_{2}(x)}$. Now, taking modulus and using triangle inequality with the (2.27), (2.28), (1.4) and (1.5) in (3.5), the proof is thus completed.

Taking $\delta=1$ in Theorem 3.2 we get:
Corollary 3.2. If the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{L B}^{\mathcal{S}}{ }_{\Sigma}^{\star}(\mathcal{R}(x, z))$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{3}{2}|x| \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Conclusion

In this paper, with the help of Lucas-Balancing polynomials, we have introduced two new subclasses of regular and bi-univalent functions defined in the open unit disk. Then, we have investigated initial Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients estimates and Fekete-Szegö type inequality for these subclasses. Some corollaries of the results are also indicated.
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