
Turkish Journal of
INEQUALITIES

Available online at www.tjinequality.com

CERTAIN RESULTS ON RUSCHEWEYH OPERATOR

PARDEEP KAUR¹ AND SUKHWINDER SINGH BILLING²

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we find certain results on Ruscheweyh operator using differential subordination. We derive certain results for starlike, convex and close-to-convex functions as particular cases to our main result.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H} denote the class of functions f , analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{E} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . Let \mathcal{A}_n be the subclass of \mathcal{H} , consisting functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\},$$

in \mathbb{E} . A function $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ is said to be starlike of order α if and only if

$$\Re \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

The class of such functions is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_n^*(\alpha)$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ is said to be convex of order α in \mathbb{E} , if and only if

$$\Re \left(1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \alpha, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Let $\mathcal{K}_n(\alpha)$, denote the class of all functions $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ that are convex of order α in \mathbb{E} .

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ of close-to-convex of order α in \mathbb{E} if it satisfies

$$\Re \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{g(z)} \right) > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}; \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \text{ and where } g \in \mathcal{S}_n^*.$$

Note that $\mathcal{S}_1^*(\alpha) = \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$, $\mathcal{K}_1(\alpha) = \mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}_1(\alpha) = \mathcal{C}(\alpha)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ are the usual classes of univalent starlike, convex and close-to-convex of order α respectively. Also note that $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$.

Let f and g be two analytic functions in open unit disk \mathbb{E} . Then we say f is subordinate to g in \mathbb{E} , denoted by $f \prec g$, if there exist a Schwarz function w analytic in \mathbb{E} , with $w(0) = 0$

Key words and phrases. Analytic function, convex function, Ruscheweyh operator, starlike function.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 30C80. Secondary: 30C45.

and $|w(z)| < 1$, $z \in \mathbb{E}$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$, $z \in \mathbb{E}$. In case the function g is univalent, then $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{E}) \subset g(\mathbb{E})$.

The Taylor's series expansions of $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ are given as

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \text{ and } g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k.$$

Then the Hadamard product or convolution of f and g is denoted by $f * g$ and defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, Ruscheweyh [5] defined

$$R^\lambda f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}} * f(z), \lambda \geq -1, z \in \mathbb{E}. \tag{1.1}$$

And for $\lambda = n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ where $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, he observed that

$$R^n f(z) = \frac{z(z^{n-1}f(z))^{(n)}}{n!}, z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

This symbol R^λ , $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_0$ was named as Ruscheweyh derivative of f of order λ by Al-Amiri [3]. Lecko et al. [1] observed that for $\lambda \geq -1$, the expression given in (1.1) becomes

$$R^\lambda f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)\dots(\lambda+k-1)}{(k-1)!} a_k z^k, z \in \mathbb{E},$$

and for every $\lambda > -1$

$$\begin{aligned} R^1 R^\lambda f(z) &= z(R^\lambda f)'(z) = z \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}} * f(z) \right)' \\ &= \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}} * (zf'(z)) = R^\lambda (zf'(z)) = R^\lambda R^1 f(z), z \in \mathbb{E}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

We notice that

$$R^{-1}f(z) = z, R^0f(z) = f(z), R^1f(z) = zf'(z) \text{ and } R^2f(z) = zf'(z) + \frac{z^2}{2}f''(z),$$

and so on. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and for $z \in \mathbb{E}$, we have

$$z(R^\lambda f)'(z) = (\lambda+1)R^{\lambda+1}f(z) - \lambda R^\lambda f(z). \tag{1.3}$$

Note that, this identity also holds for $\lambda = -1$.

In 2006, Wang et al. [7] studied the class $Q(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ defined as:

$$Q(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : \Re[\alpha(f(z)/z) + \beta f'(z)] > \gamma, (\alpha, \beta) > 0, 0 \leq \gamma < \alpha + \beta \leq 1; z \in \mathbb{E}\}.$$

They provided the extreme points and radius of univalence for the members of this class.

Then in 2007, Gao et al. [2] studied the following subclass of \mathcal{A} :

$$R(\beta, \alpha) = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : \Re(f'(z) + \alpha z f''(z)) > \beta, z \in \mathbb{E}\},$$

where $\beta < 1$, $\alpha > 0$. They determined the extreme points of $R(\beta, \alpha)$ and obtain sharp bounds for $\Re(f'(z))$ and $\Re(f(z)/z)$. They also determined the number $\beta(\alpha)$ such that

$R(\beta, \alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}^*$, for certain fixed number α in $[1, \infty)$. Recently, Shams et al. [6] studied the Ruscheweyh derivative operator for $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ that satisfies the inequality given below:

$$\left| \left(1 - \alpha + \alpha(\lambda + 2) \frac{R^{\lambda+2}f(z)}{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \right)^\mu - \alpha(\lambda + 1) \left(\frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \right)^{\mu+1} - 1 \right| < M,$$

and obtained the values of M , α , δ and μ for which the function had become starlike of order δ . In the present paper, we study the following operator for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given below as:

$$\frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \left[1 - \alpha + \alpha \left((\lambda + 2) \frac{R^{\lambda+2}f(z)}{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)} - (\lambda + 1) \frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \right) \right],$$

where α is a non-zero complex number and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_1 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, -1\}$, where $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, and obtain certain conditions for starlikeness, convexity and close-to-convexity.

2. PRELIMINARY

To prove our main result, we shall make use of the following lemma of Miller Mocanu [4].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $F(z) = 1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots$ be analytic in \mathbb{E} and $h(z)$ be analytic and convex function in \mathbb{E} with $h(0) = 1$. If*

$$F(z) + \frac{1}{c}zF'(z) \prec h(z), \quad (2.1)$$

where $c \neq 0$ and $\Re(c) > 0$, then

$$F(z) \prec cz^{-c} \int_0^z t^{c-1}h(t) dt,$$

and $cz^{-c} \int_0^z t^{c-1}h(t) dt$ is the best dominant of the differential subordination (2.1).

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. *Let α be a non-zero complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ and h be analytic and convex in \mathbb{E} with $h(0) = 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \left[1 - \alpha + \alpha \left((\lambda + 2) \frac{R^{\lambda+2}f(z)}{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)} - (\lambda + 1) \frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \right) \right] \prec h(z),$$

then

$$\frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \prec \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} h(zt) dt, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Proof. Define $u(z) = \frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)}$, $z \in \mathbb{E}$.

On differentiating logarithmically, we get

$$\frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)} = \frac{z(R^{\lambda+1}f(z))'}{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)} - \frac{z(R^\lambda f(z))'}{R^\lambda f(z)}.$$

Using the equality (1.3), the above equation reduces to,

$$u(z) + \alpha zu'(z) = \frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \left[1 - \alpha + \alpha \left((\lambda + 2) \frac{R^{\lambda+2}f(z)}{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)} - (\lambda + 1) \frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \right) \right]. \quad (3.1)$$

Taking $c = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and using Lemma 2.1, from (3.1), we get

$$\frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \left[1 - \alpha + \alpha \left((\lambda + 2) \frac{R^{\lambda+2}f(z)}{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)} - (\lambda + 1) \frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \right) \right] \prec h(z),$$

then

$$\frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \prec \frac{1}{\alpha} z^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} h(t) dt = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} h(zt) dt.$$

□

On selecting $h(z) = \frac{1 + 2z(\alpha - \beta - \alpha\beta) + (2\beta - 1)z^2}{(1 - z)^2}$ in Theorem 3.1, where $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and α is same as given in this theorem, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 3.1. *Let α be a non-zero complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ and let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \left[1 - \alpha + \alpha \left((\lambda + 2) \frac{R^{\lambda+2}f(z)}{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)} - (\lambda + 1) \frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \right) \right] \\ \prec \frac{1 + 2z(\alpha - \beta - \alpha\beta) + (2\beta - 1)z^2}{(1 - z)^2} \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}, \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Taking the dominant $h(z) = 1 + (1 + \alpha)az$, $0 < a \leq 1$ and α is same as in Theorem 3.1, we have the following result from this theorem:

Corollary 3.2. *Let α be a non-zero complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ and let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy*

$$\left| \frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \left[1 - \alpha + \alpha \left((\lambda + 2) \frac{R^{\lambda+2}f(z)}{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)} - (\lambda + 1) \frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \right) \right] - 1 \right| < (1 + \alpha)a$$

then

$$\left| \frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} - 1 \right| < a, \quad 0 < a \leq 1, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

In Theorem 3.1, when $h(z) = 1 + \frac{4}{3}(1 + \alpha)z + \frac{2}{3}(1 + 2\alpha)z^2$ is selected as a dominant, where α is same as in this theorem, we get:

Corollary 3.3. *Let α be a non-zero complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ and let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \left[1 - \alpha + \alpha \left((\lambda + 2) \frac{R^{\lambda+2}f(z)}{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)} - (\lambda + 1) \frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \right) \right] \\ \prec 1 + \frac{4}{3}(1 + \alpha)z + \frac{2}{3}(1 + 2\alpha)z^2 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\frac{R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z)} \prec 1 + \frac{4}{3}z + \frac{2}{3}z^2, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

4. CONDITIONS FOR STARLIKENESS, CONVEXITY AND CLOSE-TO-CONVEXITY

Setting $\lambda = -1$ in Corollary 3.1, we obtain:

Corollary 4.1. *Let α be a non-zero complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \alpha f'(z) \prec \frac{1 + 2z(\alpha - \beta - \alpha\beta) + (2\beta - 1)z^2}{(1 - z)^2},$$

then

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}, \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

For $\lambda = -1$ and replacing $f(z)$ with $zf'(z)$ in Corollary 3.1, we get:

Corollary 4.2. *Let α be a non-zero complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$f'(z) + \alpha z f''(z) \prec \frac{1 + 2z(\alpha - \beta - \alpha\beta) + (2\beta - 1)z^2}{(1 - z)^2},$$

then

$$f'(z) \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}, \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Hence $f \in \mathcal{C}(\beta)$.

Selecting $\lambda = 0$ in Corollary 3.1, we obtain:

Corollary 4.3. *Let α be a non-zero complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left[1 + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right] \prec \frac{1 + 2z(\alpha - \beta - \alpha\beta) + (2\beta - 1)z^2}{(1 - z)^2},$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}, \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

i.e. $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\beta)$.

Putting $\lambda = 0$ and replacing $f(z)$ with $zf'(z)$ in Theorem 3.1, we get the following result:

Corollary 4.4. *Let α be a non-zero complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \left[1 + \alpha \left(\frac{2zf''(z) + z^2f'''(z)}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} - \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] \prec \frac{1 + 2z(\alpha - \beta - \alpha\beta) + (2\beta - 1)z^2}{(1 - z)^2},$$

then

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z}, \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

i.e. $f \in \mathcal{K}(\beta)$.

Selecting $\lambda = -1$ in Corollary 3.2, we have:

Corollary 4.5. *Let α be a non-zero complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ and let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy*

$$\left| (1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \alpha f'(z) - 1 \right| < (1 + \alpha)a$$

then

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < a, \quad 0 < a \leq 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

For $\lambda = -1$ and replacing $f(z)$ with $zf'(z)$ in Corollary 3.2, we get:

Corollary 4.6. *Let α be a non-zero complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$|f'(z) + \alpha z f''(z) - 1| < (1 + \alpha)a,$$

then

$$|f'(z) - 1| < a, \quad 0 < a \leq 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Taking $\lambda = 0$ in Corollary 3.2, we obtain:

Corollary 4.7. *Let α be a non-zero complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left[1 + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right] - 1 \right| < (1 + \alpha)a,$$

then

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < a, \quad 0 < a \leq 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Selecting $\lambda = 0$ and on replacing $f(z)$ with $zf'(z)$ in Corollary 3.2, we get the following result:

Corollary 4.8. *Let α be a non-zero complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\left| \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \left[1 + \alpha \left(\frac{2zf''(z) + z^2 f'''(z)}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} - \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] - 1 \right| < (1 + \alpha)a,$$

then

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| < a, \quad 0 < a \leq 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Selecting $\lambda = -1$ in Corollary 3.3, we have:

Corollary 4.9. *Let α be a non-zero complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ and let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy*

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \alpha f'(z) \prec 1 + \frac{4}{3}(1 + \alpha)z + \frac{2}{3}(1 + 2\alpha)z^2,$$

then

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec 1 + \frac{4}{3}z + \frac{2}{3}z^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

For $\lambda = -1$ and replacing $f(z)$ with $zf'(z)$ in Corollary 3.3, we get:

Corollary 4.10. Let α be a non-zero complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$f'(z) + \alpha z f''(z) \prec 1 + \frac{4}{3}(1 + \alpha)z + \frac{2}{3}(1 + 2\alpha)z^2,$$

then

$$f'(z) \prec 1 + \frac{4}{3}z + \frac{2}{3}z^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Hence $f \in \mathcal{C}$.

Taking $\lambda = 0$ in Corollary 3.3, we obtain:

Corollary 4.11. Let α be a non-zero complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left[1 + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right] \prec 1 + \frac{4}{3}(1 + \alpha)z + \frac{2}{3}(1 + 2\alpha)z^2,$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1 + \frac{4}{3}z + \frac{2}{3}z^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

i.e. $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$.

Selecting $\lambda = 0$ and on replacing $f(z)$ with $zf'(z)$ in Corollary 3.3, we get the following result:

Corollary 4.12. Let α be a non-zero complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \left[1 + \alpha \left(\frac{2zf''(z) + z^2f'''(z)}{f'(z) + zf''(z)} - \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] \prec 1 + \frac{4}{3}(1 + \alpha)z + \frac{2}{3}(1 + 2\alpha)z^2,$$

then

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec 1 + \frac{4}{3}z + \frac{2}{3}z^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

i.e. $f \in \mathcal{K}$.

Acknowledgements. Authors are thankful to the reviewers for their valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Lecko, M. Lecko and T. Yaguchi, *Subclasses of typically real functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative*, Demonstr. Math., **41**(8) (2008), 823–832.
- [2] C.-Y. Gao and S.-Q. Zhou, *Certain subclass of starlike functions*, App. Math. and Comp., **187** (2007), 176–182.
- [3] H. S. Al-Amiri, *On Ruscheweyh derivatives*, Ann. Poln. Math., **38** (1980), 87–94.
- [4] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations and univalent functions*, Michigan Math. J., **28**(2) (1981), 157–171.
- [5] S. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **49** (1975), 109–115.
- [6] S. Shams and P. Arjomandinia, *Starlikeness conditions for normalized analytic functions including Ruscheweyh operator*, Acta Univ. Apuleni., **45** (2016), 97–103.
- [7] Z. -G. Wang, C.-Y. Gao and S. -M. Yuan, *On the univalence of certain analytic functions*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **7**(1) (2006), Art. 9.

¹DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED SCIENCES,
BABA BANDA SINGH BAHADUR ENGINEERING COLLEGE,
FATEHGARH SAHIB-140407, PUNJAB, INDIA.
E-mail address: aradhitadhiman@gmail.com

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
SRI GURU GRANTH SAHIB WORLD UNIVERSITY,
FATEHGARH SAHIB-140407, PUNJAB, INDIA.
E-mail address: ssbilling@gmail.com